

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,849	08/01/2003	Matthew M. Dorman	C8386.0001/P001	9929
24998 7590 03/13/2009 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP			EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STI	REET NW	SEREBOFF, NEAL		
Washington, L	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/631,849	DORMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NEAL R. SEREBOFF	3626		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	NEAL R. SEREBOFF	3626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.53 - If the provisions of 37 CFR 1.53 - If NO proof for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we have been considered to reply with the set or extended period for reply with period with the set. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/30/3	2004.							
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· _								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-84</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	In from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-84 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement							
Old Claim(3) 1-04 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:							

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 40 and 52 55, drawn to stores said data to be forwarded to said host processing system at a different time, classified in class 701, subclass 162.
 - II. Claims 41 51, drawn to uploading merged data to said server by said remotely operated handheld computing device over said second connection via said gateway, classified in class 707, subclass 100.
 - III. Claims 56 59, drawn to storing one of said customized forms for said current business application and said customized set of forms for said newly created business application in a memory storage system, classified in class 455, subclass 418
 - IV. Claims 60 84, drawn to emulating screens as said screens would be displayed on a handheld computing device, classified in class 705, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as stores said data to be forwarded to said host processing system at a different time. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

3 Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as stores said data to be forwarded to said host processing system at a different time. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a 4 single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as stores said data to be forwarded to said host processing system at a different time. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as uploading merged data to said server by said remotely operated handheld computing device over said second connection via said gateway. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a 6 single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as uploading merged data to said server by said remotely operated handheld computing device over said second connection via said gateway. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

7. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as storing one of said customized forms for said current business application and said customized set of forms for said newly created business application in a memory storage system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 8. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Application/Control Number: 10/631,849

Art Unit: 3626

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the Art Unit: 3626

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,849

Art Unit: 3626

will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEAL R. SEREBOFF whose telephone number is (571)270-1373. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thur from 7:30am to 5pm, with 1st Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Luke Gilligan can be reached on (571) 272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3626

/N. R. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 3/4/2009

/C. Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626